

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/766,780	01/22/2001	Michael J. Sullivan	P-5686U1-D1 SLD 2 0106-2	8351	
75	90 06/19/2002				
Senior Patent Counsel Spalding Sports Worldwide, Inc. 425 Meadow Street			EXAMINER		
			GORDON, RAEANN		
PO Box 901 Chicopee, MA	01021-0901		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			3711	<del></del>	
			DATE MAILED: 06/19/2002	2	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•
	٦
. 1	1

	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/766,780		SULLIVAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Raeann Gorden		3711	
TI MANUALO DATE SALIS SOCIETA		:46 46	arrachandanca addrace -	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address **Period for Reply** 

#### A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

- Any i	reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ng date of this communic	ation, even if timely filed, may reduce any			
Status	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	March 2002 .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-	final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	tion of Claims					
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application	on.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from conside	ration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	tion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ier.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) dobjed	cted to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be h	eld in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) 🔲	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)[] approv	red b)  disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in r	eply to this Office a	ction.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 3	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)	)					
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been rec	eived.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been rec	eived in Application No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International E	Bureau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).			
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list					
	•		35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
	<ul> <li>a) The translation of the foreign language p</li> <li>Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome</li> </ul>					
Attachmen	•	Suc priority under	50 5.6.6. 33 125 dinaret 12 11			
1) Notice 2) Notice	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Other:			

Art Unit: 3711

D.

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. According to the specification the Shore D hardness of the outer cover layer does not exceed 55 (pages 26 and 27).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Claims 1-4 and 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yamagishi et al. Regarding claim 1, Yamagishi discloses a golf ball comprising a dual

Art Unit: 3711

core and a single outer cover. The dual core comprises a center component (12) and a core layer (13) (fig 2). The center component is made from a thermoset material and the core layer is made from a thermoplastic. The outer cover layer has a Shore D hardness from 40 to 60. Regarding claim 2, 4, and 6, the thermoset material for the core component is a polybutadiene and the thermoplastic material for the core layer is an ionomer. Regarding claim 3, the core may comprise of at least two layers (col 2, lines 57-60). Regarding claim 7, the center component has a diameter from 0.787 to 1.535 inches and the core component and core layer have a diameter from 1.378 to 1.614 inches. Regarding claims 8 and 9, Yamagishi discloses a variety of inert fillers that may be added to the core layers that are commonly known for increasing/decreasing density (col 5, lines 1-9).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamagishi in view of Wu '673. Yamagishi discloses the invention as shown above but does not include polyurethane as an option for the thermoset material (core component). However, Wu teaches a polyurethane golf ball product suitable for the

Art Unit: 3711

core layer. One skilled in the art would have modified the invention of Yamagishi by including a polyurethane core component to improve the resiliency of the golf ball.

Claims 10-13 and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamagishi et al in view of Maruko et al '888. Regarding claim 10, Yamagishi discloses a golf ball comprising a dual core and a single outer cover. The dual core comprises a center component (12) and a core layer (13) (fig 2). The center component is made from a thermoset material and the core layer is made from a thermoplastic. The outer cover layer has a Shore D hardness from 40 to 60. Regarding claim 11, 13, and 15, the thermoset material for the core component is a polybutadiene and the thermoplastic material for the core layer is an ionomer. Regarding claim 12, the core may comprise of at least two layers (col 2, lines 57-60). Regarding claim 16, the center component has a diameter from 0.787 to 1.535 inches and the core component and core layer have a diameter from 1.378 to 1.614 inches. Regarding claims 17 and 18, Yamagishi discloses a variety of inert fillers that may be added to the core layers that are commonly known for increasing/decreasing density (col 5, lines 1-9). Yamagishi does not disclose an inner cover layer with a Shore D hardness greater than 60 or more as in claim 10. Maruko teaches an inner cover layer with a Shore D hardness greater than 60 and an outer cover layer Shore D hardness from 43 to 53. One skilled in the art would have modified the hardness of the inner cover layer to achieve the desired flight characteristics of the golf ball.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamaqishi in view of Maruko'888 as applied to claims 10-13 and 15-18 above and in

Art Unit: 3711

further view of Wu '673. Yamagishi in view of Maruko discloses the invention as shown above but does not include polyurethane as an option for the thermoset material (core component). However, Wu teaches a polyurethane golf ball product suitable for the core layer. One skilled in the art would have modified Yamagishi in view of Maruko by including a polyurethane core component to improve the resiliency of the golf ball.

#### Terminal Disclaimer

The terminal disclaimer filed on 3-14-02 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of 6,213,895 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. In regards to the applicant's argument it is not clear if a 'single cover layer' or a 'single outer cover layer' is intended. Amended claim 1 recites a 'single outer cover layer' but applicant argues a 'single cover layer'. If applicant is claiming a 'single outer cover layer' the rejection over Yamagishi is proper. Yamagishi discloses a 'single outer cover layer'. If applicant's intent is the latter a restriction will be required. Group 1, claims 1-9, a golf ball comprising a dual layer core and dual layer cover and Group 11, claims 10-18, a golf ball comprising a dual core and a single layer cover.

Art Unit: 3711

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raeann Gorden whose telephone number is (703) 308-8354. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Fridays from 8:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Sewell, can be reached on 703-308-2126. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-7768.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

rg June 14, 2002

> Mark S. Graham Primary Examiner